


**Ye Hong**  
Principles of Microeconomics (ECON-1311-1-SP22)  
No. of responses = 16

Survey Results


Legend

Question text




1. Background Questions

1.1) College Year:




1.2) Difficulty of this course:




1.3) Degree of effort I expended in the course:




1.4) Based on the grades in this course so far, what final grade do you expect?




1.5) I took this course primarily to fulfill the requirements for:




1.6) Listed below are some possible reasons why students choose specific courses to meet curricular requirements. Please check the boxes that represent the main reasons for choosing this course.




2. ECONOMICS DEPARTMENT STUDENT COURSE EVALUATION



2.8) Overall, I would give this course a positive rating.



2.9) Overall, the instructor is an effective teacher.



# Profile

Subunit: **Economics**  
 Name of the instructor: **Ye Hong**  
 Name of the course: **Principles of Microeconomics (ECON-1311-1-SP22)**  
 (Name of the survey)

Values used in the profile line: Mean

**2. ECONOMICS DEPARTMENT STUDENT COURSE EVALUATION**

2.1) The instructor is well prepared and organized.	Strongly Disagree									Strongly Agree	n=16	av.=6.0	md=6.0	dev.=0.0
2.2) The evaluation of my work (exams, papers, projects and etc.) is fair and constructive.	Strongly Disagree									Strongly Agree	n=16	av.=5.9	md=6.0	dev.=0.3
2.3) The instructor is accessible and helpful outside class.	Strongly Disagree									Strongly Agree	n=16	av.=5.9	md=6.0	dev.=0.3
2.5) The course stimulates analytical thinking.	Strongly Disagree									Strongly Agree	n=16	av.=5.8	md=6.0	dev.=0.4
2.6) I am learning a great deal in this course.	Strongly Disagree									Strongly Agree	n=16	av.=5.8	md=6.0	dev.=0.4
2.8) Overall, I would give this course a positive rating.	Strongly Disagree									Strongly Agree	n=16	av.=5.9	md=6.0	dev.=0.3
2.9) Overall, the instructor is an effective teacher.	Strongly Disagree									Strongly Agree	n=16	av.=6.0	md=6.0	dev.=0.0

# Comments Report

## 1. Background Questions

<sup>1.7)</sup> Considering your selections above, please comment on your experience in this course.

- Dr. Hong absolutely rocks. She's engaging, she really knows what she's talking about, seriously a great professor. She seriously knows how to teach her content and wants her students to succeed.
- Great course, best professor I ever had, really helpful and great lectures.
- Great professor who uses amazing examples to make students understand the content.
- I have had a fantastic experience with this course! Dr. Hong taught the subject extremely well, and has always been available and approachable when I needed help. Expectations were clear and I always felt I knew what my guidelines were for all assignments. Tests and homeworks were graded fairly, and I liked that we would do test reviews once they were graded. Tests were graded within a reasonable amount of time, and I really did enjoy this class. Thank you Dr. Hong!!!
- I took this course because I needed it for credits. The class went well for the most part, the content was difficult but it could just be a personal thing since I am not very interested in microeconomics.
- It was an amazing class.
- Microeconomics is very straight forward, especially having taken macro economics before.
- My overall experience in this course was amazing.
- Overall, I have enjoyed the course. My skills in economics have improved.
- Professor Hong is excellent at explaining and makes the course engaging.
- The instructor is very kind and easy going. She's very informative in the topics she discusses. The work she gives is fairly easy, not too easy though.
- This has been a very interesting micro economics class and was very worth taking.
- this course has taught me a lot and dr. hong has done a great job as a teacher and explaining everything! i've definitely grown as a student because of her and have taken a lot away from this class!

## 2. ECONOMICS DEPARTMENT STUDENT COURSE EVALUATION

<sup>2.4)</sup> Please comment on your answers to questions 2.1, 2.2, and 2.3.

- Dr. Hong is an amazing professor. She is an excellent lecturer and I was able to understand all the course content from the lectures alone. She was readily available at office hours and through email.
- Dr. Hong is entertaining and good at her job. She knows how to teach difficult material in interesting, understandable ways, she's super ready to help and answer any questions. Honestly I wasn't expecting this course to be anything more than a class I was required to take. She changed that and has imparted onto me ( and my peers ) applicable world knowledge and made this one of my favorite classes all year. She's the best and I hope she remains at Trinity for a long time to come.
- Dr. Hong was very helpful if I ever had questions and was very fair in her grading.
- Professor Hong is always available for questions over email or during her office hours.
- The Professor is well organized and provides timely/helpful feedback on all assignments.
- The instructor was well prepared and organized and the evaluation was fair
- The professor always has a lesson plan ready for class. She goes over topics necessary to complete homework and exams. The exams she always goes over after we take them. She also had flexible office hours.
- The professor was always kind and helpful when it came to grading, office hours, and responding to emails.
- Very good professor
- very accessible and fair in grading

2.7) Please comment on your answers to questions 2.5 and 2.6.

- Adore her
- I have learned economic terms and how to use them
- I have learnt a lot of new information I had not known before
- I haven't taken microeconomics before and learned a lot of new material this semester.
- I never really learned about economic but this class has taught be plentiful. The course material is very informative as well.
- The concepts were a bit difficult but I feel like I grasped them for the most part.
- This was a very informative class.
- i learned a lot over this semester and enjoyed it

2.10) Please comment on your answers to questions 2.8 and 2.9.


- <3 u Dr. Hong
- Dr. Hong did a great job teaching this class and I would highly recommend her.
- Dr. Hong's homework assignments are easy to understand and unambiguous. Course expectations are clear. The grade I get in this course is directly correlated to the amount of effort I expend.
- Dr. Yong is so nice and helpful and an awesome teacher overall.
- Great course and a great professor
- Great examples and demonstrations involving the students.
- I LOVED this course. I was nervous to take Econ bc I have never taken a class like it before, but Dr. Hong is an amazing instructor. The class experiments were a huge help in understanding the concepts we were learning, and they were so much fun. I am recommending Dr. Hong to anyone else that is taking micro because I learned so much and the class environment is very encouraging and helpful.
- Professor Hong is very kind and always has fun experiments to explain economic concepts in class. Her lectures are very thorough and she is very helpful. I thoroughly enjoyed her class.
- Thank you so much for an amazing semester Dr. Hong! Have a great summer!
- The classes were well organized and the teacher made sure everyone understood and content was clear
- The instructor made the class and it's content easier to understand.
- The professor is great! I really appreciate her. She is super kind and helpful.
- Very effective
- one of the best instructors i have had

**Ye Hong**  
 Industrial Organization (ECON-3435-1-SP22)  
 No. of responses = 15

Survey Results


Legend

Question text




1. Background Questions


1.1) College Year:




1.2) Difficulty of this course:




1.3) Degree of effort I expended in the course:




1.4) Based on the grades in this course so far, what final grade do you expect?



1.5) I took this course primarily to fulfill the requirements for:




1.6) Listed below are some possible reasons why students choose specific courses to meet curricular requirements. Please check the boxes that represent the main reasons for choosing this course.




## 2. ECONOMICS DEPARTMENT STUDENT COURSE EVALUATION


2.1) The instructor is well prepared and organized.




2.2) The evaluation of my work (exams, papers, projects and etc.) is fair and constructive.




2.3) The instructor is accessible and helpful outside class.



2.5) The course stimulates analytical thinking.




2.6) I am learning a great deal in this course.






2.8) Overall, I would give this course a positive rating.



2.9) Overall, the instructor is an effective teacher.




# Profile

Subunit: Economics  
 Name of the instructor: Ye Hong  
 Name of the course: Industrial Organization  
 (Name of the survey)

Values used in the profile line: Mean

## 2. ECONOMICS DEPARTMENT STUDENT COURSE EVALUATION



# Comments Report

## 1. Background Questions

1.7) Considering your selections above, please comment on your experience in this course.

- Dr. Hong is an expert in this field. It was great to learn from her, since she really really knows what she is talking about. The midterms were tough, but she adapted and allowed for corrections/equation sheet on the second. This flexibility was really valuable. I loved the final interview and project, I got to learn about a really exciting topic. Her lectures were always on point, super interesting and very well organized. She is also so kind, available and helpful in office hours, and even had conversations before class to ask about how our coursework, interview for internships, and research papers were going. I can't wait to take Dr. Hong again, she is a great addition to the Trinity Economics Department
- I enjoyed this course. I'd already learned a lot of the concepts in the class so it wasn't too work intensive, but it was interesting.
- I really enjoyed this course--it was probably one of my favorite economics courses at Trinity. Dr. Hong is an amazing professor who helped make difficult topics easy to understand and who kept the class engaged even when covering objectively boring economic models. She is very knowledgeable about Industrial Organization, and her passion for the subject helped students feel engaged and excited as well. The class was difficult and the material challenging at times, but Dr. Hong was constantly available in office hours and via email to answer any questions and help students succeed.
- I took intermediate microeconomics with Professor Hong and liked her so much I just signed up for this class without looking at the course content.
- I took the course because I was very interested in antitrust and market structure. The segments of the course that were more conceptual - when to use the Bertrand or Cournot model, how firms estimate price in practice, why firms vertically integrate, etc. - were all extremely helpful and will stick with me. In particular, the use of real world case studies from antitrust law helped the concepts stick in my mind. I was less enthused about the more quantitative parts of the course. Often, this seemed to stress more rote memorization than I would have liked. Nonetheless, I did still retain a decent amount, especially when the quantitative parts made more conceptual sense. Here, I'm referring to rules like "marginal revenue is twice as steep as demand" and profit is  $Q^*(P-MC)$ .
- Need course for major.
- This course has been informative and interesting. The instructor, Dr. Hong, does the best of her ability to teach the class in memorable and interesting ways. I enjoy that she does not read off of a PowerPoint slide, but instead engages the class to participate and create mini simulations of the market.
- This course was pretty much what I expected it to be Professor Hong is an excellent instructor and gives interesting and meaningful lectures as well as many opportunities to improve our grades.
- Took the class to fulfill major requirement. I learned a lot about Industrial Organization! The last research project and group project especially allowed me to go in depth on a topic I choose, very good!

## 2. ECONOMICS DEPARTMENT STUDENT COURSE EVALUATION

2.4) Please comment on your answers to questions 2.1, 2.2, and 2.3.

- All the lectures had a specific outline that was followed. The allowance for test corrections and a study page for the exam made the tricky quantitative work much more manageable. Feedback was direct and quick. Office hours, especially right before the test, were very helpful.
- Dr. Hong always had PowerPoints for each chapter and lecture ready. Wish there were More office hours besides after class Monday and Wednesday but she was very available by email so this helped.
- Dr. Hong answers emails almost immediately, and always comes into lectures prepared for the topic of the day. She followed the syllabus to near perfection and I never felt lost in class. She is engaging, caring, and intellectual in the subject she teaches. She even brought in a guest lecturer to our class to discuss different implications of industrial economics in the world.
- Dr. Hong is always prepared. Her lectures are pretty engaging and she gives us time to talk in groups which is always nice. The games that we play to introduce concepts are fun too
- Dr. Hong is one of the most constructive and best economic professors I have had here at trinity. While the coursework is difficult, she ensures that every student has the chance to firmly grasp the material and perform well on the exams.
- Dr. Hong's exams were difficult. They covered a lot of what we discussed and went over in class and used models that we were familiar with from class discussions and homework problems. Dr. Hong created homework problems for us for each chapter that helped us better understand the material and gain experience applying the economic models we learned in class to real world problems. She was always available in office hours or in meetings to go over material from class, or even to discuss current events related to the class that students were passionate about (and helped steer those conversations in a way that helped us learn from them and better understand what we were doing in class).

- I really enjoy how Professor Hong uses class time to work through the concepts and problems and her use of the whiteboard (rather than just Powerpoint slides) makes it a much more interactive class. She also does a great job of using real world examples in her teaching.
- Office hours were consistent, and she added additional before tests. She also is very flexible and kind via email.
- The instructor demonstrated an excellent understanding of the course material, and clearly outlined the topics of discussion for each class period.

The only times the instructor failed to follow schedule was when a class exercise took longer than anticipated.

- The instructor maintained a consistent office hour schedule throughout the semester.
- The lectures are always easy to follow and well thought out. This made note taking very easy and helped tremendously with studying for the exams. Professor Hong is available during her office hours.
- Yes, she was very helpful and accessible outside of class. I greatly appreciated the exam review questions, cheat sheets, and test corrections. Work was graded timely and had good helpful feedback without being too harsh, very happy with it.

2.7) Please comment on your answers to questions 2.5 and 2.6.

- A lot of these concepts are difficult to understand but I do feel as though they expanded my knowledge of Industrial Organization and economics as a whole. I might not understand the concepts entirely but I typically understood the general idea behind them.
- Everyday I came into class I was prepared for the subject and did the readings beforehand. It takes effort to be able to analyze the content especially graphs and applications. She walks you through them and makes a difficult course easy to learn and to absorb information.
- I knew little about IO  
Coming into this course. Now I know a lot about it.
- I learned a lot about industrial organization in this course, not only the economic models themselves but also how to apply the models we learned to difficult problems. Some of the homework and test questions were straight forward, but many required us to analyze situations and apply many different models and topics we learned.
- I learned so much to apply the models I've learned in previous courses.
- Material was presented in such a way that stimulated analytical thinking.
- See first comment.
- The course definitely earns a strong recommendation from me. It gets me to think about the importance of pricing strategies, for example, in capturing market power; I could even apply what I learned to the analysis of media ownership in another class.
- The course introduces a lot of topics and motivates them effectively
- The topics covered in this class were for me a mixture of review and new topics, but the way the course integrated the new topics with previously learned concepts was really interesting and informative.
- The topics covered in this course expanded on the knowledge I learned in intermediate microeconomics and I definitely learned a lot about different market organizations.
- Yes, lots of group discussions made us think critically about the issues and I learned a lot.

2.10) Please comment on your answers to questions 2.8 and 2.9.

- As stated above this course fulfilled my expectations and I believe will be useful in my future career or in understanding IO problems.
- Dr. Hong is an amazing and very effective teacher. Her class was amazing. I learned so much and enjoyed almost every minute of it. I'm only sad that I am graduating and cannot take any more classes with her.
- I have already recommended this course to other students and am excited to be able to see her again next semester. She is one of my favorite professors and I hope the economics department keeps her around.
- I learned a lot about IO I didn't know before. I like that Dr. Hong used whiteboard because it meant we could keep up with her pace
- I really enjoyed the class exercises, as they served as an excellent way to visualize or put into practice the theoretical concepts discussed in class. The teacher used a good mixture of class discussion and participation alongside lectures in order to keep the class engaged while still covering all the relevant material.
- Perhaps my favorite thing about Professor Hong's courses is the fact that the exams are so closely structured around the homework problems and practice exams. This allows me to learn the necessary topics without just memorizing all the information for a test and then forgetting it all immediately afterwards.

- Sometimes Dr. Hong will write too much on the board without adequately explaining or motivating (deriving coir or, the circle model) However, most of the time she's a very effective teacher, and she's always helpful outside of class.
- The instructor was thoroughly prepared for each lecture and ensured that a organized lecture outline was followed and adhered to.
- The lectures are informative and entertaining. I like that Dr. Hong always incorporates real-world examples to clarify class content; it helps me see better the value of Industrial Organization beyond models and theories. This class—unlike most Econ classes—involves interactions with classmates and fun games, which help a lot in my understanding of the materials and are very rewarding.
- Yes, Dr. Hong is a very effective teacher. She explains concepts well, is open to questions, is flexible, and provides useful feedback :)
- Yes. Dr. Hong is great at explaining complicated economic concepts with vivid examples and case studies. The use of in-class experiments also made concepts stick very well. In the future, I would try to make the class 80% conceptual (case studies, in-class experiments, explanations of concepts like vertical integration) and 20% quantitative. I loved the use of the cheat sheet for the exam - it made the quantitative work much more manageable. The reason I would reduce the quantitative amount is because it is intrinsically hard to understand, especially as undergrad students without much background knowledge.


I also really enjoyed the course's emphasis on research. The interview was fun and got me to understand real-world economics. I think one thing that would really make the course even more enjoyable would be integrating research into in-class discussions. Replacing some of the heavy quantitative work with discussion of papers would be awesome.

**Ye Hong**  
Principles of Microeconomics (ECON-1311-2-SP22)  
No. of responses = 15

Survey Results


**Legend**

Question text




1. Background Questions

1.1) College Year:




1.2) Difficulty of this course:




1.3) Degree of effort I expended in the course:




1.4) Based on the grades in this course so far, what final grade do you expect?



1.5) I took this course primarily to fulfill the requirements for:




1.6) Listed below are some possible reasons why students choose specific courses to meet curricular requirements. Please check the boxes that represent the main reasons for choosing this course.




**2. ECONOMICS DEPARTMENT STUDENT COURSE EVALUATION**


2.1) The instructor is well prepared and organized.




2.2) The evaluation of my work (exams, papers, projects and etc.) is fair and constructive.




2.3) The instructor is accessible and helpful outside class.




2.5) The course stimulates analytical thinking.




2.6) I am learning a great deal in this course.



2.8) Overall, I would give this course a positive rating.



2.9) Overall, the instructor is an effective teacher.






# Profile

Subunit: **Economics**  
 Name of the instructor: **Ye Hong**  
 Name of the course: **Principles of Microeconomics (ECON-1311-2-SP22)**  
 (Name of the survey)

Values used in the profile line: Mean

**2. ECONOMICS DEPARTMENT STUDENT COURSE EVALUATION**



# Comments Report

## 1. Background Questions

1.7) Considering your selections above, please comment on your experience in this course.

- Best professor ever! She worked really well with students and made learning enjoyable. She did a lot of real life examples to help us understand concepts. Everything was taught in class and we never had to use the book. Overall an amazing teacher.
- Dr Hong was a great professor. You could really tell that she knows what she is talking about and truly cares about each student's success.
- I had a great experience in this class. I have never taken any economics courses before this course. Through this course, I was able to establish a foundation of economics that will allow me to further my knowledge in economics down my career path. Course was enjoyable and engaging.
- I really enjoyed this course. Dr. Hong was easy to reach and work with. She made the lectures fun as well as interactive. I would recommend this course to others.
- I really liked this course and professor hong was very accommodating to athletics!
- I took AP MicroEconomics in high school and didn't enjoy it at all, but after learning with Dr. Hong and these classmates, I had a lot of fun and really feel like I've learned valuable information.
- I took this course because, though I am a political science major, I will be pursuing a masters in international development after graduation. So, I wanted to get a base in economics my last semester!
- It was a good course. Not overwhelming by any means and Dr. Hong was great.
- I'd recommend this course.
- The course content has definitely improved my skills on topics relating to the basics of economics.
- This course has been very good. I was taking it for my major and have really enjoyed the course. I look to possibly minor in economics now and continue to learn more about it.

## 2. ECONOMICS DEPARTMENT STUDENT COURSE EVALUATION

2.4) Please comment on your answers to questions 2.1, 2.2, and 2.3.

- Dr. Hong is an engaging educator and has made learning economics an enjoyable thing to do. I especially love the games we play in class.
- Dr. Hong is an organized and helpful instructor. She grades exams fairly and in a timely way as well as provides feedback.
- Dr. Hong was a very good teacher. Her lectures were very good and engaging. She always had a way to keep it interesting and fun, while also being able to teach it in a simple way. She was always open to answering questions in class and making sure we understood the material.
- Dr. Hong was readily available and clearly communicated the material.
- Instructor is greatly organized in accordance to class curriculum and structure. She provides great feedback on both homework and exams, therefore, grading is fair. Office hours were always encouraged and were helpful when I attended them.
- Professor is clear in her teaching, well organized, gives good feedback, and prepares us well for exams.
- She always helps students and is super nice.
- Very fair.

2.7) Please comment on your answers to questions 2.5 and 2.6.

- Although course content was difficult to understand at first, I was able to engage with the content beyond the classroom.
- Having already taken economics, I find that this course covers all the basics and necessary information needed for micro.
- I definitely learned a lot, some was review for some since I had taken economics in high school.

- I do feel I got a great introduction over the major in general. It was a very insightful course and I feel prepared for macro and for the other economics courses.
- I learned a good amount in this course. Much of the content is pretty straight forward, so not too much analytical thinking is necessarily needed
- I thought that I learned a lot in this course. I think that I will use this course a lot in the future.
- Very good econ course

2.10) Please comment on your answers to questions 2.8 and 2.9.

- A great intro to microeconomics, taught well by a professor who cares about the students and always makes effort to make the class content applicable and relatable. Also, a very manageable workload.
- Dr. Hong was very enthusiastic and made her classes very entertaining. I never found myself bored or felt the class was a drag.
- Enjoyed the course and very good professor.
- Good lectures/course material
- I enjoyed this course and Dr. Hong's teaching style.
- I had a great time in this course and hope to take a class with Dr. Hong again.
- I have taken this class before with a terrible teacher the first time and now comparing these 2 professors I am grateful for everything she does to help us learn. She honestly is super genuine and cares about you understanding the material.
- Overall, this was a very effective course for me to take. I learned things that I need for the future and I can't wait to utilize it in the future.
- Professor Hong was a great teacher for an introduction course. Through lectures and class activities, she ensured we understood the course content.


# Ye Hong

Intermediate Microeconomics (ECON-3425-1-FL21)  
No. of responses = 6

## Survey Results


### Legend

Question text




### 1. Background Questions


1.1) College Year:




1.2) Difficulty of this course:




1.3) Degree of effort I expended in the course:




1.4) Based on the grades in this course so far, what final grade do you expect?



1.5) I took this course primarily to fulfill the requirements for:




1.6) Listed below are some possible reasons why students choose specific courses to meet curricular requirements. Please check the boxes that represent the main reasons for choosing this course.




**2. ECONOMICS DEPARTMENT STUDENT COURSE EVALUATION**



2.8) Overall, I would give this course a positive rating.



2.9) Overall, the instructor is an effective teacher.



# Profile

Subunit: Economics  
 Name of the instructor: Ye Hong  
 Name of the course: Intermediate Microeconomics (ECON-3425-1-FL21)  
 (Name of the survey)

Values used in the profile line: Mean

## 2. ECONOMICS DEPARTMENT STUDENT COURSE EVALUATION

2.1) The instructor is well prepared and organized.	Strongly Disagree									Strongly Agree	n=6	av.=6.0	md=6.0	dev.=0.0
2.2) The evaluation of my work (exams, papers, projects and etc.) is fair and constructive.	Strongly Disagree									Strongly Agree	n=6	av.=5.0	md=5.5	dev.=1.5
2.3) The instructor is accessible and helpful outside class.	Strongly Disagree									Strongly Agree	n=5	av.=6.0	md=6.0	dev.=0.0
2.5) The course stimulates analytical thinking.	Strongly Disagree									Strongly Agree	n=6	av.=6.0	md=6.0	dev.=0.0
2.6) I am learning a great deal in this course.	Strongly Disagree									Strongly Agree	n=6	av.=5.7	md=6.0	dev.=0.5
2.8) Overall, I would give this course a positive rating.	Strongly Disagree									Strongly Agree	n=6	av.=5.8	md=6.0	dev.=0.4
2.9) Overall, the instructor is an effective teacher.	Strongly Disagree									Strongly Agree	n=6	av.=5.7	md=6.0	dev.=0.8

# Comments Report

---

## 1. Background Questions

1.7) Considering your selections above, please comment on your experience in this course.

- I had a good experience in this class. Econ is hard, so I expected to struggle. But I don't think there was anything that Dr Hong did that caused me to struggle. The material was just hard. I think she explained everything really well in class and was always available to do office hours and clarify anything I didn't pick up the first time.
- I like the course content as Economics is my major, and it will help me for other courses of my major. It has been a little difficult because of the content.

## 2. ECONOMICS DEPARTMENT STUDENT COURSE EVALUATION

2.4) Please comment on your answers to questions 2.1, 2.2, and 2.3.

- Dr. Hong has been a great professor and has taught microeconomics really well. She explains everything and encourages students to ask questions and explain their reasoning so she can better understand our thought process over the material. I enjoyed this class a lot.
- I would like to have more office hours available but she always has extra time to offer so it's not bad
- You definitely had to go in to her office hours if you wanted to really know what you did wrong on an exam, but with the corrections she made you usually could figure it out on your own.

2.7) Please comment on your answers to questions 2.5 and 2.6.

- I feel like I'm actually going to retain the material I learned because we learned it properly– not just for the exams.
- It's a lot of analytical thinking

2.10) Please comment on your answers to questions 2.8 and 2.9.

- Dr Hong is great. I loved the way she taught this class.
- She is always prepared for every class lecture and gives feedback of the homework very fast




**Ye Hong**  
 FA20 202008 Fall ECO 101-01 Introduction to Economics (ECO-101-01-Fall 2020)  
 No. of responses = 30



Overall indicators

Evaluation of Instruction ( $\alpha = 0.87$ )




av.=4.3  
dev.=0.98

Survey Results

Legend

Question text




n=No. of responses  
 av.=Mean  
 md=Median  
 dev.=Std. Dev.  
 ab.=Abstention

Evaluation of Instruction


I wish to nominate this instructor for the Bryan School teaching award.




The instructor was well-prepared for classes.




The instructor facilitated mutual respect among students and faculty.




The instructor encouraged student/faculty communication and/or contact.




The instructional materials used in the course were effective learning tools.




The instructor's grading procedures in this course were fair.



The instructor's overall teaching was effective.




The overall quality of this course was high.




# Histogram for scaled questions


The instructor was well-prepared for classes.




The instructor facilitated mutual respect among students and faculty.




The instructor encouraged student/faculty communication and/or contact.




The instructional materials used in the course were effective learning tools.




The instructor's grading procedures in this course were fair.



The instructor's overall teaching was effective.



The overall quality of this course was high.




# Profile

Subunit: [ECO] Economics  
 Name of the instructor: Ye Hong  
 Name of the course: 202008 Fall ECO 101-01 Introduction to Economics  
 (Name of the survey)

Values used in the profile line: Mean

## Evaluation of Instruction



# Profile Line for Indicators

---

Subunit: [ECO] Economics  
Name of the instructor: Ye Hong  
Name of the course: 202008 Fall ECO 101-01 Introduction to Economics  
(Name of the survey)

---

Evaluation of Instruction



av.=4.30

dev.=0.98

# Comments Report

---

## Evaluation of Instruction

What is the name of the instructor you are evaluating?

- Abby Hong (2 Counts)
- Abby Hong
- Abby Ye Hong
- Abby Ye Hong
- Abby hong
- Dr. Abby Hong
- Professor Abby Hong
- Ye (Abby) Hong
- Ye Hong (14 Counts)
- Ye Hong (5 Counts)
- Ye Hong (Abby)
- Ye hong

Use this space to leave any comments about the instructor.

- I hate Econ with a passion but she was lovely and always answered questions and responded to emails quickly
- I really enjoyed having Dr. Hong this semester. She really makes a great effort to get to know all of her students and you can tell she genuinely enjoys what she does.
- I think that this class should either be online or a bit more engaging because although we went over concepts in class there was barely any retention which means that students will have to teach themselves outside of class.
- N/A
- Online school was a huge transfer for a lot of students, remember it is a lot harder for some students than others so the work we were learning was much harder to understand but the notes were easy to understand.
- Professor Abby taught effectively, fairly, and with a encouraging attitude. She handled the virtual learning well by being understanding when issues arose while also holding high standards for her students.
- Professor talked openly about students with the highest grades on assignments nearly every class. Additionally talked about politics prior to class starting nearing and following the election on multiple occasions. Never guided students but it did create a lot of tension in the classroom.
- Provide more practice information like the exams over through out each session.
- SHE WAS AMAZING !!!
- She is a GREAT teacher
- She is a kind and soulful instructor, she explains the material well enough to prepare you for the homework.
- She was such a kind soul and always made sure to answer everyone's questions
- She's very nice, great teacher. But quite boring sometimes
- The instructor was fair and willing to help students whenever needed.
- Unfortunately the language barrier led to a few mishaps and confusions during course instruction but nothing that affected the overall impact of the class.

Use this space to leave any comments about the course.

- It was hard but our instructor did a her a great job explaining it
- LOVED IT !!
- N/A
- The material was just boring sometimes but overall it's not that bad.
- The only complaint I had about this course was that the exams were nothing like the materials presented to us in class, including study guides, notes, etc. The material on the test was not like what we had been learning.
- n/a



**Ye Hong**  
 FA20 202008 Fall ECO 250R-01 Econ/Bus Stats I Recitation (ECO-250R-01-Fall 2020)  
 No. of responses = 13



Overall indicators

Evaluation of Instruction ( $\alpha = 0.91$ )




av.=4.48  
dev.=0.67

Survey Results

Legend

Question text




n=No. of responses  
 av.=Mean  
 md=Median  
 dev.=Std. Dev.  
 ab.=Abstention

Evaluation of Instruction


I wish to nominate this instructor for the Bryan School teaching award.




The instructor was well-prepared for classes.




The instructor facilitated mutual respect among students and faculty.




The instructor encouraged student/faculty communication and/or contact.




The instructional materials used in the course were effective learning tools.




The instructor's grading procedures in this course were fair.



The instructor's overall teaching was effective.




The overall quality of this course was high.




# Histogram for scaled questions


The instructor was well-prepared for classes.




The instructor facilitated mutual respect among students and faculty.




The instructor encouraged student/faculty communication and/or contact.




The instructional materials used in the course were effective learning tools.




The instructor's grading procedures in this course were fair.



The instructor's overall teaching was effective.



The overall quality of this course was high.



# Profile

Subunit: [ECO] Economics  
 Name of the instructor: Ye Hong  
 Name of the course: 202008 Fall ECO 250R-01 Econ/Bus Stats I Recitation  
 (Name of the survey)

Values used in the profile line: Mean

Evaluation of Instruction

The instructor was well-prepared for classes.	Strongly Disagree						Strongly Agree	n=13	av.=4.62	md=5.00	dev.=0.51
The instructor facilitated mutual respect among students and faculty.	Strongly Disagree						Strongly Agree	n=13	av.=4.77	md=5.00	dev.=0.44
The instructor encouraged student/faculty communication and/or contact.	Strongly Disagree						Strongly Agree	n=12	av.=4.58	md=5.00	dev.=0.67
The instructional materials used in the course were effective learning tools.	Strongly Disagree						Strongly Agree	n=13	av.=4.31	md=4.00	dev.=0.75
The instructor's grading procedures in this course were fair.	Strongly Disagree						Strongly Agree	n=13	av.=4.46	md=5.00	dev.=0.66
The instructor's overall teaching was effective.	Strongly Disagree						Strongly Agree	n=12	av.=4.17	md=4.50	dev.=1.03
The overall quality of this course was high.	Strongly Disagree						Strongly Agree	n=13	av.=4.46	md=5.00	dev.=0.66

# Profile Line for Indicators

Subunit: [ECO] Economics  
Name of the instructor: Ye Hong  
Name of the course: 202008 Fall ECO 250R-01 Econ/Bus Stats I Recitation  
(Name of the survey)

Evaluation of Instruction



av.=4.48

dev.=0.67

# Comments Report

---

## Evaluation of Instruction

What is the name of the instructor you are evaluating?

■ Ye Hong (9 Counts)

■ Ye Hong  
Ye Hong

Ye Hong

Ye Hong

■ Ye Hong (2 Counts)

■ Ye hong

Use this space to leave any comments about the instructor.

- Help students understand material better
- It was sometimes difficult to learn the material when most of the class period was spent in breakout rooms, however maybe it was due to the group I was in. Overall I learned a lot about the field of statistics and look forward to learning more, sometimes it was unfortunate when I would attempt to complete online assignments and there would be issues with the actual online services and not actually with my ability to complete the assignments. Other than those couple of issues I enjoyed my time in the course.
- Thanks for your support

Use this space to leave any comments about the course.

- This is a challenging course but I learn a lot



**Ye Hong**  
 FA20 202008 Fall ECO 250R-13 Econ/Bus Stats I Recitation (ECO-250R-13-Fall 2020)  
 No. of responses = 14



Overall indicators

Evaluation of Instruction ( $\alpha = 0.92$ )




av.=4.32  
dev.=1.02

Survey Results

Legend

Question text




n=No. of responses  
 av.=Mean  
 md=Median  
 dev.=Std. Dev.  
 ab.=Abstention

Evaluation of Instruction


I wish to nominate this instructor for the Bryan School teaching award.




The instructor was well-prepared for classes.




The instructor facilitated mutual respect among students and faculty.




The instructor encouraged student/faculty communication and/or contact.




The instructional materials used in the course were effective learning tools.




The instructor's grading procedures in this course were fair.



The instructor's overall teaching was effective.




The overall quality of this course was high.




# Histogram for scaled questions


The instructor was well-prepared for classes.




The instructor facilitated mutual respect among students and faculty.




The instructor encouraged student/faculty communication and/or contact.




The instructional materials used in the course were effective learning tools.




The instructor's grading procedures in this course were fair.



The instructor's overall teaching was effective.



The overall quality of this course was high.




# Profile

Subunit: [ECO] Economics  
 Name of the instructor: Ye Hong  
 Name of the course: 202008 Fall ECO 250R-13 Econ/Bus Stats I Recitation  
 (Name of the survey)

Values used in the profile line: Mean

## Evaluation of Instruction



# Profile Line for Indicators

---

Subunit: [ECO] Economics  
Name of the instructor: Ye Hong  
Name of the course: 202008 Fall ECO 250R-13 Econ/Bus Stats I Recitation  
(Name of the survey)

---

Evaluation of Instruction



av.=4.32

dev.=1.02

# Comments Report

---

## Evaluation of Instruction

What is the name of the instructor you are evaluating?

- Abby Hong (2 Counts)
- Abby Ye Hong
- Ye Hong (8 Counts)
- Ye Hong
- Ye hong
- ye hong

Use this space to leave any comments about the instructor.

- She is such a sweetheart and very nice and understanding
- Very sweet lady

Use this space to leave any comments about the course.

- Easy at first and slowly became more difficult
- I think it was hard to grasp the majority of content in the course, she maybe could have broken things down more and split up units so we had more time to focus on all the concepts



# North Carolina A&T State University

## Spring 2021 Course Evaluations

**Course:** ECON20605A.202120: ECON20605A.202120.Statistics for Decision Making  
**Instructor:** Scott Simkins, Ye Hong \*  
**Response Rate:** 14/35 (40.00 %)

### 1 - The instructor was well prepared for class.

Ye Hong

Response Option				Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses				Means							
Strongly Disagree				(1)	0	0.00%					4.86							
Disagree				(2)	0	0.00%					4.14							
Neither Agree nor Disagree				(3)	0	0.00%					4.12							
Agree				(4)	2	14.29%	█				4.15							
Strongly Agree				(5)	12	85.71%	██████████											
							0	25	50	100	Question		University		College		Department	
Response Rate	Mean	STD	Median	University	Mean	STD	Median	College	Mean	STD	Median	Department	Mean	STD	Median			
14/35 (40.00%)	4.86	0.36	5.00	24302	4.14	1.05	4.00	2357	4.12	1.05	4.00	432	4.15	0.95	4.00			

### 2 - The instructor communicated the course material clearly.

Ye Hong

Response Option				Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses				Means							
Strongly Disagree				(1)	0	0.00%					4.71							
Disagree				(2)	0	0.00%					3.99							
Neither Agree nor Disagree				(3)	1	7.14%	█				3.96							
Agree				(4)	2	14.29%	█				4.04							
Strongly Agree				(5)	11	78.57%	██████████											
							0	25	50	100	Question		University		College		Department	
Response Rate	Mean	STD	Median	University	Mean	STD	Median	College	Mean	STD	Median	Department	Mean	STD	Median			
14/35 (40.00%)	4.71	0.61	5.00	24302	3.99	1.16	4.00	2357	3.96	1.17	4.00	432	4.04	1.07	4.00			

### 3 - The instructor encouraged students to ask questions.

Ye Hong

Response Option				Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses				Means							
Strongly Disagree				(1)	0	0.00%					4.71							
Disagree				(2)	0	0.00%					4.16							
Neither Agree nor Disagree				(3)	0	0.00%					4.09							
Agree				(4)	4	28.57%	████				4.12							
Strongly Agree				(5)	10	71.43%	██████████											
							0	25	50	100	Question		University		College		Department	
Response Rate	Mean	STD	Median	University	Mean	STD	Median	College	Mean	STD	Median	Department	Mean	STD	Median			
14/35 (40.00%)	4.71	0.47	5.00	24302	4.16	1.03	4.00	2357	4.09	1.06	4.00	432	4.12	0.98	4.00			

### 4 - The instructor was present to provide me assistance during posted student hours and/or scheduled appointments.




Ye Hong

Response Option				Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses				Means							
Strongly Disagree				(1)	0	0.00%					4.71							
Disagree				(2)	0	0.00%					4.03							
Neither Agree nor Disagree				(3)	2	14.29%	█				3.98							
Agree				(4)	0	0.00%					4.01							
Strongly Agree				(5)	12	85.71%	██████████											
							0	25	50	100	Question		University		College		Department	
Response Rate	Mean	STD	Median	University	Mean	STD	Median	College	Mean	STD	Median	Department	Mean	STD	Median			
14/35 (40.00%)	4.71	0.73	5.00	24302	4.03	1.06	4.00	2357	3.98	1.06	4.00	432	4.01	1.02	4.00			

# North Carolina A&T State University

## Spring 2021 Course Evaluations

**Course:** ECON20605A.202120: ECON20605A.202120.Statistics for Decision Making  
**Instructor:** Scott Simkins,Ye Hong \*  
**Response Rate:** 14/35 (40.00 %)



**8 - Are there any improvements that you would suggest North Carolina A&T State University make to your learning environment that would allow your professor to be more effective in future semesters?**

**Ye Hong**

**Response Rate** 1/35 (2.86%)

- Not have set meeting times in an asynchronous course even if attendance is not mandatory.

**9 - Comments:**

**Ye Hong**

**Response Rate** 3/35 (8.57%)

- Dr. Hong explained the material really well and she was always open to answering my questions.
- Very sweet and really helped students the best she could!
- She is a great instructor, I had little to no problems with her or the class.

**North Carolina A&T State University**  
**Spring 2021 Course Evaluations**

**Course:** ECON20605A.202120: ECON20605A.202120.Statistics for Decision Making  
**Instructor:** Scott Simkins,Ye Hong \*  
**Response Rate:** 14/35 (40.00 %)

10 - Which most closely reflects the grade you expect to earn in this course?					
Ye Hong					
Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	Means
A	(1)	7	50.00%		
B	(2)	5	35.71%		
C	(3)	2	14.29%		
D	(4)	0	0.00%		
F	(5)	0	0.00%		
Pass	(6)	0	0.00%		
				0 25 50 100	
Response Rate					
14/35 (40.00%)					

11 - How much effort did you put into this course relative to your other courses?					
Ye Hong					
Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	Means
Much more	(1)	4	30.77%		
More	(2)	7	53.85%		
Same	(3)	2	15.38%		
Less	(4)	0	0.00%		
Much less	(5)	0	0.00%		
				0 25 50 100	
Response Rate					
13/35 (37.14%)					

Mean of Means Calculations	Mean	University	College	Department
Spring 2021 Course Evaluation - Mean of Means	4.68	4.05	4.01	4.03